

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/578,038	YABE, TOMOYOSHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Virginia M Kibler	2623	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Virginia M Kibler. (3)_____.

(2) Kerry H. Owens. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 05 December 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Uchida and Suzuki.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ms. Owens, applicant's representative, called to discuss the prior art and the proposed amendment to claim 1. Examiner indicated that the proposed amendment would require further search and consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

MEHRDAD DASTOURI
PRIMARY EXAMINER
Mehrdad Dastouri

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required